P-28 Usefulness of a mobile phone application for measurement of respiratory rate in adult patients

Ryuji Suzuki ^a [Senior Resident], Toshihiko Takada ^{a, b}, Michio Hayashi ^a, Jun Miyashita ^{a, b}, Teruhisa Azuma ^a, Shingo Fukuma ^{a, b, c}, Shunichi Fukuhara ^{a, b}

- ^a Shirakawa Satellite for Teaching And Research (STAR) in General Medicine, Fukushima Medical University,
- ^b Department of Healthcare Epidemiology, School of Public Health in the Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University,
- ^c Human Health Sciences, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University

Introduction: Measurement of respiratory rate (RR) is important for the early detection of exacerbation of patients' condition. However, it is sometimes bothersome for healthcare providers to measure respiratory rate visually over 60 seconds (one-minute method).

RR measurement using a mobile phone application (app method) has been reported to be accurate and completed in a short time, but investigated only in a pediatric setting.

Objectives: To validate the performance of the app method for measuring RR compared with the one-minute method in adult patients.

Methods

Study design: A cross-sectional study

Setting and participants: Nursing school students in a teaching hospital in Japan

Measurements: The movements of the thorax during spontaneous respiration of five adult inpatients were recorded on de-identified videos. Then reference RR was defined by two independent observers. Participants watched these videos and measured the RR with both the app and the one-minute methods. Also, the time taken for the measurement was recorded.

The RR measured by each method was compared with the reference RR. A Bland-Altman analysis was conducted to calculate bias, limits of agreement, and percentage error. The time taken for the measurement with each method was compared using a t-test.

Results: A total of 59 nursing school students participated; mean age was 20.9 years old (standard deviation 2.9) and 51 (86.4%) were female. When compared to the reference RR, the app method showed a small bias of 0.40 br/min and narrow limits of agreement (-2.8 to 3.6 br/min). The percentage error of the app method was 12.8%. The mean time taken for the measurements by the app method was 22.8 sec (95% confidence interval (CI) 13.9 to 36.6), which is significantly shorter than 65.8 sec (95%CI 61.0 to 73.2) taken by the one-minute method (p<0.05).

Conclusions: The RR can be measured accurately in a shorter time using a mobile phone application in adult patients.