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First Question

• Should we not interfere with our patients?
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Patient–physician relationship dynamics in Japan

- Patient as a “client”
- Patient as a “stray sheep”

Defensive Practices

- Smug value of Medicine
- From paternalism To cooperative relation

- Mutual respects
- Sharing roles

Decline of responsibility
Why were we so paternalistic?
Smug value in Medicine
Naturalistic Fallacy
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Second Question

- What is the new value in the new social framework?
Collapses of What?
ROCK-SOLID ABSOLUTE JUSTICE
What is the new common value in the new social framework?
Environment and safety must be preserved by everybody.
Third Question

What should physicians be in the new social framework?
How can we meet the patients’ best (health) interests?
Clinical evidence shows both effectiveness and limitations of medical care.
Guideline Statements will accept patients preferences.

- **Grade A**: Applicable for most patients
- **Grade B**: Should consider application
- **Grade C1**: Applicable but fragile evidence
- **Grade C2**: Not applicable with fragile evidence
- **Grade D**: Should not be applicable
“What we able to do?”

“What can we do (for you)?”
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Growing with a wobble
Concrete Statements

• Changing the structure of informed consent process
• Changing status of attending physician in the hospital
• Growing peer review culture in the hospital
Tokyo Medical Center aims health services “thinking with patients.”

Thank you for your attention!
まとめ

- 医師が持つパターンリズムへの批判は、医師の意識を変えつつあるが、変化させる部分が違うのではないかと尾藤は考える。
- 患者に対するプロフェッショナルとしての推奨をおこなうこと、責任感を持つことを保持したまま、自然科学的誤謬や利益相反に対して自己批判的になることが、患者とともに考えるプロフェッショナルには必要なことである。
- 専門家は要塞化し、ゆるぎない存在となる。鎧を脱ぎ、患者と共にゆらぐプロフェッショナル像が新しい社会に望まれるプロフェッショナル像だと考える。
- 思い切った変化のための構造的な改革がいくつか必要である。